



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/863,315 | 05/24/2001 | Eric Saurel | Q64632 | 1360 |

7590 01/24/2005

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20037-3213

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LAMB, BRENDA A

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1734

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

22

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/863,315 | Applicant(s) SAUREL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Brenda A Lamb | Art Unit 1734 | |

All Participants:

(1) Brenda A Lamb.

(2) Attorney Saliba.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

All

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brenda A Lamb

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Saliba called in response to the examiner's answer mailed 11/22/2005. Attorney Saliba and I discussed changes to the claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Attorney Saliba sent me a draft amendment on 1/21/2005 in which changes were made to claims 1, 11, 13, 14 and 17-19 and claims 3, 12, 15 and 16 were cancelled. I agreed that the changes in the draft amendment of 1/21/2005 would place the application in condition for allowance. I agreed to prepare an examiner's amendment which would incorporate the changes set forth in the draft amendment of 1/21/2005. See the attached copy of the proposed draft amendment of 1/21/2005.